

# Copper(I)-Catalyzed Intramolecular Trifluoromethylation of Methylenecyclopropanes

Zi-Zhong Zhu,<sup>†</sup> Kai Chen,<sup>†</sup> Liu-Zhu Yu,<sup>†</sup> Xiang-Ying Tang,<sup>‡</sup> and Min Shi<sup>\*,†,‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Key Laboratory for Advanced Materials and Institute of Fine Chemicals, East China University of Science and Technology, 130 Mei Long Road, Shanghai 200237, China

<sup>‡</sup>State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Road, Shanghai 200032, China

**(5)** Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT:** Copper(I)-catalyzed intramolecular trifluoromethylation of methylenecyclopropanes has been developed to produce a variety of  $CF_3$ -substituted dihydronaphthalenes in moderate to good yields, relying on the construction of  $C(sp^2)-CF_3$  bonds under mild conditions. The reactions proceed through a radical process under copper(I) catalysis with a good compatibility for the functional group.



T he trifluoromethyl group is a very important structural motif in many agrochemicals and pharmaceuticals because  $CF_3$ -containing molecules usually have remarkable biological activity, high hydrophobicity, and metabolic stability (Figure 1).<sup>1</sup> Over the past decades, research to efficiently introduce a



Figure 1. CF<sub>3</sub>-containing drugs.

trifluoromethyl group into organic molecules has been a very important part of organic chemistry.<sup>2</sup> Trifluoromethylations, especially transition-metal-mediated or -catalyzed trifluoromethylation reactions, efficiently offer a large number of CF<sub>3</sub>containing compounds. Thus far, the construction of  $C(sp^3)$ -CF<sub>3</sub> bonds has been reported widely through a transition-metal catalyzed process.<sup>3</sup> Moreover, trifluoromethylation of aromatic compounds has also been achieved through a transition-metalcatalyzed cross-coupling process, resulting in the construction of  $C(sp^2)$ -CF<sub>3</sub> bonds.<sup>4</sup> More recently, difunctionalization of alkynes has become a useful method to access CF<sub>3</sub>-containing molecules, also having a  $C(sp^2)-CF_3$  bond. For example, the groups of Szabó,<sup>5</sup> Sodeoka,<sup>6</sup> and Cho<sup>7</sup> have developed the difunctionalization of terminal alkynes to construct  $C(sp^2)$ -CF<sub>3</sub> bonds, respectively. In addition, the groups of Liu,<sup>8</sup> Liang,<sup>9</sup> Hou,<sup>10</sup> Ding,<sup>11</sup> and Fu<sup>12</sup> achieved trifluoromethylation of internal alkynes (Scheme 1).

Methylenecyclopropanes (MCPs) are highly strained but readily accessible molecules and have been used very often as important building blocks in organic synthesis.<sup>13</sup> In the past

# Scheme 1. Synthesis of CF<sub>3</sub>-Substituted Dihydronaphthalenes



several years, much attention has been paid to the transition metal or Lewis acid catalyzed transformations of MCPs to rapidly construct complex and interesting organic compounds.<sup>14</sup> At the same time, the radical initiated ring-opening processes of MCPs have been also explored extensively.<sup>15</sup>

On the basis of the above successful examples of trifluoromethylation and our ongoing interest in the exploration of new reactivity of MCPs, we hypothesized that the direct trifluoromethylation of MCPs to obtain a variety of CF<sub>3</sub>-substituted dihydronaphthalenes would be possible through a Cu(I)-catalyzed free radical process (Scheme 1).<sup>16</sup> Herein, we report the successful construction of C(sp<sup>2</sup>)–CF<sub>3</sub> bonds relying on the radical initiated ring opening of MCPs and the subsequent cyclization to afford CF<sub>3</sub>-substituted dihydronaphthalenes.

We first investigated the reaction between methylenecyclopropane (1a) and the Togni reagent (II) to identify the optimal

ACS Publications © 2015 American Chemical Society

Received:October 11, 2015Published:December 4, 2015

reaction conditions, and the results are summarized in Table 1. Using CuI (10 mol %) as the catalyst in DCE (1,2-1)





dichloroethane) at 80 °C, CF<sub>3</sub>-substituted dihydronaphthalene **2a** was obtained in 48% yield (Table 1, entry 1). We also utilized other catalysts instead of CuI, and found that CuTc was the best one, affording the desire product **2a** in 52% yield (Table 1, entry 2). Next, we utilized different solvents in this reaction to examine the solvent effects and found that MeCN was the solvent of choice, giving **2a** in 70% yield (Table 1, entry 3). The examination of reaction temperature revealed that this reaction should be carried out at 80 °C (for more details about the optimization of this reaction, see Table S1 in the **Supporting Information**). To our delight, the yield of **2a** could be improved to 72% when 2.0 equiv of the Togni reagent (II) was used in the presence of CuTc (10 mol %) in MeCN (1.5 mL) at 80 °C (Table 1, entry 4).

Having the optimized conditions in hand, we turned our attention to evaluating the generality of the reaction using a variety of MCPs 1b-1k, bearing either electron-donating or -withdrawing groups on the aromatic ring. The results are shown in Scheme 2. When a substituent at the ortho-position of the aromatic ring was an electron-donating substituent such as an alkyl or Ts-protected amino group, the reaction proceeded smoothly to give the desired product 2b or 2c in 83% or 65% yield, respectively. The ORTEP drawing of 2b is shown in Figure 2, and its CIF data have been indicated in the Supporting Information. While the substituent was a strongly electron-withdrawing group such as NO2, the product 2d was obtained in a slightly lower yield. By replacing NO<sub>2</sub> by CN, the reaction proceeded efficiently in DCE to afford the desired product 2e in 63% yield. Subsequently, we examined the influence of the substituents at the meta-position of the aromatic ring. For substrate 1f, the desired product 2f could be obtained as the sole product in 70% yield. While, in the case of 1g, the reaction proceeded smoothly to give the desired product 2g along with its regioisomer  $2g^\prime$  in 61% total yield as the ratio of 3:1. As for substrate 1h, in which the meta-position of the aromatic ring was substituted by NO<sub>2</sub>, the corresponding product 2h and its regioisomer 2h' could be also obtained in 62% total yield along with the ratio of 2:1. When substituents at the para-position of the aromatic ring were OMe and CN, the desired products 2i and 2j were afforded in 76% and 63% yields, respectively. However, as for MCP 1k, in which the aromatic ring was p-ClC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, the product 2k could be obtained in 50% yield when a second portion of CuTc and the Togni reagent (II) was added after 6 h. In the case of substrate 1l, in which  $R^2 = H$  was replaced by a phenyl group, the reaction

## Scheme 2. Substrate Scope of 1



"Using DCE as the solvent. <sup>b</sup>A second portion of CuTc (10 mol %) and the Togni reagent (II) (2.0 equiv) was added after 6 h. <sup>c</sup>The ratio of regioisomers. Isolated yields are provided.



Figure 2. X-ray crystal structure of product 2b.

should be carried out in DCE to give the desired product 2l in 56% yield. As for substrates 1m-1o, in which the aromatic ring had two substituents, the corresponding products 2m-2o were formed in 70–52% yields. The trisubstituted substrate 1p produced the corresponding product 2p in 80% yield. A substrate containing an indole group (1q) instead of a phenyl counterpart has been also utilized for this reaction. However, we found that the reaction system became complex and no desired product was formed under the standard conditions. As for substrates 1s and 1t, both of them are unstable because they could easily decompose even at low temperature under an argon atmosphere. As for methylenecyclobutane 1u, the reaction did not take place under the standard conditions.

To demonstrate the synthetic utility of this method, further transformations of **2b** were performed (Scheme 3). Product **2b** could be readily oxidized by 3.0 equiv of NBS (*N*-bromosuccinimide) to give the corresponding  $CF_3$ -substituted naphthalene **3b** in 69% yield (Scheme 3a). In the presence of

Scheme 3. Transformation of the Product 2b



NBS (6.0 equiv), **2b** could be further oxidized to the CF<sub>3</sub>substituted naphthaldehyde **4b** in 61% yield under identical conditions (Scheme 3b). Furthermore, product **2b** could also be transformed to the CF<sub>3</sub>-substituted epoxide **5b** in the presence of *m*-CPBA (2.0 equiv) (Scheme 3c). On the basis of the above-mentioned results, it is obvious that more functionalized CF<sub>3</sub>-containing compounds can be easily obtained from product **2**.

To gain mechanistic insight into this reaction, control experiments were conducted. As shown in Scheme 4, when the





reaction mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 30 min in the presence of TEMPO (2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxy) (2.0 equiv), the CF<sub>3</sub> radical was captured by TEMPO to give the corresponding TEMPO adduct in 22% <sup>19</sup>F NMR yield along with **2a** in only 28% <sup>19</sup>F NMR yield (Scheme 4a). If the reaction was performed with the addition of BHT (butylated hydroxytoluene) (2.0 equiv) under the standard conditions, **2a** could not be detected (Scheme 4b), suggesting that the reaction may undergo a radical process.

A plausible mechanism is depicted in Scheme 5 on the basis of the aforementioned control experiments and previously reported literature.<sup>3c,k,11,12</sup> The CF<sub>3</sub> radical can be generated





from the Togni reagent (II) in the presence of copper(I). The  $CF_3$  radical adds to the C=C bond of MCP to give intermediate **A**, which undergoes a ring-opening process to give the alkyl radical intermediate **B**. The key intermediate **B** undergoes direct radical cyclization with an aromatic ring to afford intermediate **C**, which is oxidized by Cu(II) to give the desired product **2** and release a proton.

In summary, we have disclosed a novel synthetic protocol for the construction of  $CF_3$ -substituted dihydronaphthalenes through an efficient copper(I)-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of methylenecyclopropanes. The mechanistic studies indicate that the reaction proceeded through a  $CF_3$  radical addition to the C=C bond of MCP, followed by sequential ring opening and oxidative cyclization to afford the desired product. The product **2b** can be easily transformed to other useful trifluoromethylated compounds under mild conditions. Efforts are in progress in the application of this new methodology to synthesize interesting biologically active compounds in our laboratory.

# ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.5b02940.

X-ray structural data (CIF) of compound **2b** (CCDC 1407656) (CIF)

General experimental procedure and characterization data of the products; copies of NMR spectra (PDF)

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

# **Corresponding Author**

\*E-mail: mshi@mail.sioc.ac.cn.

## Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful for the financial support from the National Basic Research Program of China (973)-2015CB856603 and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (20472096, 21372241, 21361140350, 20672127, 21421091, 21372250, 21121062, 21302203, 20732008, and 21572052).

# REFERENCES

 (1) (a) Shimizu, M.; Hiyama, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 214.
 (b) Schlosser, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 5432. (c) Müller, K.; Faeh, C.; Diederich, F. Science 2007, 317, 1881. (d) Purser, S.; Moore, P. R.; Swallow, S.; Gouverneur, V. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 320.
 (e) Wang, J.; Sánchez-Roselló, M.; Aceña, J. L.; del Pozo, C.; Sorochinsky, A. E.; Fustero, S.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Liu, H. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 2432.

(2) For selected reviews, see (a) Zheng, Y.; Ma, J.-A. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 2745. (b) Tomashenko, O. A.; Grushin, V. V. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 4475. (c) Furuya, T.; Kamlet, A. S.; Ritter, T. Nature 2011, 473, 470. (d) Wu, X.-F.; Neumann, H.; Beller, M. Chem. - Asian J. 2012, 7, 1744. (e) Studer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8950. (f) Liu, H.; Gu, Z.; Jiang, X. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 617. (g) Chu, L.; Qing, F.-L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 1513. (h) Egami, H.; Sodeoka, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 8294. (i) Merino, E.; Nevado, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 6598. (j) Charpentier, J.; Früh, N.; Togni, A. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 650. (k) Xu, X.-H.; Matsuzaki, K.; Shibata, N. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 731. (l) Gao, P.; Song, X.-R.; Liu, X.-Y.; Liang, Y.-M. Chem. - Eur. J. 2015, 21, 7648.

(3) For selected recent examples trifluoromethylation reactions, see: (a) Parsons, A. T.; Buchwald, S. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9120. (b) Xu, J.; Fu, Y.; Luo, D.-F.; Jiang, Y.-Y.; Xiao, B.; Liu, Z.-J.; Gong, T.-J.; Liu, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 15300. (c) Wang, X.; Ye, Y.; Zhang, S.; Feng, J.; Xu, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 16410. (d) Mu, X.; Wu, T.; Wang, H.; Guo, Y.; Liu, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 878. (e) Zhu, R.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 12462. (f) Li, Y.; Studer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8221. (g) Egami, H.; Kawamura, S.; Miyazaki, A.; Sodeoka, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 7841. (h) Egami, H.; Shimizu, R.; Kawamura, S.; Sodeoka, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 4000. (i) Kong, W.; Casimiro, M.; Fuentes, N.; Merino, E.; Nevado, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 13086. (j) Gao, P.; Yan, X.-B.; Tao, T.; Yang, F.; He, T.; Song, X.-R.; Liu, X.-Y.; Liang, Y.-M. Chem. - Eur. J. 2013, 19, 14420. (k) Dong, X.; Sang, R.; Wang, Q.; Tang, X.-Y.; Shi, M. Chem. - Eur. J. 2013, 19, 16910. (1) Lin, J.-S.; Xiong, Y.-P.; Ma, C.-L.; Zhao, L.-J.; Tan, B.; Liu, X.-Y. Chem. - Eur. J. 2014, 20, 1332. (m) Wang, F.; Qi, X.; Liang, Z.; Chen, P.; Liu, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 1881. (n) Li, L.; Deng, M.; Zheng, S.-C.; Xiong, Y.-P.; Tan, B.; Liu, X.-Y. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 504. (o) Yang, F.; Klumphu, P.; Liang, Y.-M.; Lipshutz, B. H. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 936. (p) Wang, F.; Wang, D.; Mu, X.; Chen, P.; Liu, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 10202. (q) Han, G.; Wang, Q.; Liu, Y.; Wang, Q. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5914. (r) Li, Y.; Ye, Z.; Bellman, T. M.; Chi, T.; Dai, M. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 2186. (s) Zhu, N.; Wang, F.; Chen, P.; Ye, J.; Liu, G. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 3580. (t) Wei, Q.; Chen, J.-R.; Hu, X.-Q.; Yang, X.-C.; Lu, B.; Xiao, W.-J. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 4464. (u) Kawamura, S.; Egami, H.; Sodeoka, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 4865. (v) Wang, F.; Zhu, N.; Chen, P.; Ye, J.; Liu, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 9356. (w) Sahoo, B.; Li, J.-L.; Glorius, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 11577. (x) Liu, J.-B.; Chen, C.; Chu, L.; Chen, Z.-H.; Xu, X.-H.; Qing, F.-L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 11839.

(4) (a) Oishi, M.; Kondo, H.; Amii, H. Chem. Commun. 2009, 1909.
(b) Cho, E. J.; Senecal, T. D.; Kinzel, T.; Zhang, Y.; Watson, D. A.; Buchwald, S. L. Science 2010, 328, 1679. (c) Chu, L.; Qing, F.-L. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 5060. (d) Wang, X.; Truesdale, L.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 3648. (e) Mu, X.; Chen, S.; Zhen, X.; Liu, G. Chem. - Eur. J. 2011, 17, 6039. (f) Morimoto, H.; Tsubogo, T.; Litvinas, N. D.; Hartwig, J. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 3793.
(g) Senecal, T. D.; Parsons, A. T.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 1174. (h) Ye, Y.; Sanford, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9034.
(i) Zhang, X.-G.; Dai, H.-X.; Wasa, M.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 11948. (j) Dai, J.-J.; Fang, C.; Xiao, B.; Yi, J.; Xu, J.; Liu, Z.-J.; Lu, X.; Liu, L.; Fu, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 8436. (k) Wang, X.; Xu, Y.; Mo, F.; Ji, G.; Qiu, D.; Feng, J.; Ye, Y.; Zhang, S.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 10330.

(5) Janson, P. G.; Ghoneim, I.; Ilchenko, N. O.; Szabó, K. J. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2882.

(6) Egami, H.; Shimizu, R.; Sodeoka, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2012**, *53*, 5503.

(7) Iqbal, N.; Jung, J.; Park, S.; Cho, E. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 539.

(8) Xiong, Y.-P.; Wu, M.-Y.; Zhang, X.-Y.; Ma, C.-L.; Huang, L.; Zhao, L.-J.; Tan, B.; Liu, X.-Y. Org. Lett. **2014**, *16*, 1000.

(9) Gao, P.; Shen, Y.-W.; Fang, R.; Hao, X.-H.; Qiu, Z.-H.; Yang, F.; Yan, X.-B.; Wang, Q.; Gong, X.-J.; Liu, X.-Y.; Liang, Y.-M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2014**, 53, 7629.

(10) Ge, G.-C.; Huang, X.-J.; Ding, C.-H.; Wan, S.-L.; Dai, L.-X.; Hou, X.-L. Chem. Commun. **2014**, *50*, 3048.

(11) Li, Y.; Lu, Y.; Qiu, G.; Ding, Q. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 4240.

(12) Xu, J.; Wang, Y.-L.; Gong, T.-J.; Xiao, B.; Fu, Y. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 12915.

(13) For selected reviews, see: (a) Rubin, M.; Rubina, M.; Gevorgyan, V. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 3117. (b) Shao, L.-X.; Shi, M. Curr. Org. Chem. 2007, 11, 1135. (c) Purser, S.; Moore, P. R.; Swallow, S.; Gouverneur, V. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 320. (d) Shi, M.; Shao, L.-X.; Lu, J.-M.; Wei, Y.; Mizuno, K.; Maeda, H. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 5883. (e) Pellissier, H. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 8341. (f) Audran, G.; Pellissier, H. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 575. (g) Masarwa, A.; Marek, I. Chem. - Eur. J. 2010, 16, 9712. (h) Shi, M.; Lu, J.-M.; Wei, Y.; Shao, L.-X. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 641. (i) Brandi, A.; Cicchi, S.; Cordero, F. M.; Goti, A. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 7317. (j) Pellissier, H. Tetrahedron 2014, 70, 4991.

(14) For recent examples of transition metal or Lewis acid catalyzed transformations of MCPs, see: (a) Shi, M.; Liu, L. P.; Tang, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 7430. (b) Fürstner, A.; Aïssa, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 6306. (c) Tian, G.-Q.; Shi, M. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4917. (d) Shao, L.-X.; Li, Y.-X.; Shi, M. Chem. - Eur. J. 2007, 13, 862. (e) Lu, L.; Chen, G.; Ma, S. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 835. (f) Simaan, S.; Masarwa, A.; Bertus, P.; Marek, I. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 3963. (g) Masarwa, A.; Stanger, A.; Marek, I. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 8039. (h) Scott, M. E.; Bethuel, Y.; Lautens, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 1482. (i) Huang, X.; Yang, Y. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1667. (j) Taillier, C.; Lautens, M. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 591. (k) Scott, M. E.; Lautens, M. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 8154. (1) Simaan, S.; Goldberg, A. F. G.; Rosset, S.; Marek, I. Chem. - Eur. J. 2010, 16, 774. (m) Yao, B.; Li, Y.; Liang, Z.; Zhang, Y. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 640. (n) Li, S.; Luo, Y.; Wu, J. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 3190. (o) Zhang, D. H.; Wei, Y.; Shi, M. Chem. - Eur. J. 2012, 18, 7026. (p) Ackermann, L.; Kozhushkov, S. I.; Yufit, D. S. Chem. - Eur. J. 2012, 18, 12068. (q) Zhang, D.-H.; Tang, X.-Y.; Wei, Y.; Shi, M. Chem. - Eur. J. 2013, 19, 13668. (r) Chen, K.; Zhu, Z.-Z.; Zhang, Y.-S.; Tang, X.-Y.; Shi, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 6645. (s) Ohmura, T.; Taniguchi, H.; Suginome, M. ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 3074.

(15) For examples of radical initiated reactions of MCPs, see: (a) Xu, B.; Chen, Y.; Shi, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 2781. (b) Zhou, H.; Huang, X.; Chen, W. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 5471. (c) Liu, L.-P.; Shi, M. Chem. Commun. 2004, 2878. (d) Huang, J.-W.; Shi, M. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 3859. (e) Huang, X.; Yu, L. Synlett 2005, 19, 2953. (f) Yu, L.; Huang, X.; Xie, M. Synlett 2006, 3, 423. (g) Hayashi, N.; Hirokawa, Y.; Shibata, I.; Yasuda, M.; Baba, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 2912. (h) Miao, M.; Huang, X. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 5636. (i) Kippo, T.; Hamaoka, K.; Ryu, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 632. (j) Yu, L.; Wu, Y.; Chen, T.; Pan, Y.; Xu, Q. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 144. (16) (a) Qiu, J.-K.; Jiang, B.; Zhu, Y.-L.; Hao, W.-J.; Wang, D.-C.; Sun, J.; Wei, P.; Tu, S.-J.; Li, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 8928. (b) Chen, Z.-Z.; Liu, S.; Hao, W.-J.; Xu, G.; Wu, S.; Miao, J.-N.; Jiang, B.; Wang, S.-L.; Tu, S.-J.; Li, G. Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 6654.